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# Introduction

In Greater Manchester, the 4 local Universities and the 10 Local Authorities, make up the Greater Manchester Social Work Academy (GMSWA). All those involved in practice learning in the GMSWA, have worked together to develop a Practice Educator programme, which facilitates candidates to meet The Practice Educator Professional Standards for Social Work. These were reviewed in 2019 and again in 2022 by the British Association of Social Work (BASW) and the programme and this assessment pack have been updated, in light of the latest update.

This assessment pack contains all the information you will need to complete the assessment for accreditation at Stage 1 <https://www.basw.co.uk/social-work-training/practice-educator-professional-standards-peps>

The assessment of practice educators (PEs) in GM takes place via the PEPS assessment panel. The panel consist of representatives from all the GMSWA partners and takes place quarterly. You can find out the dates of the assessment panels from your practice placement coordinator or university representative (PVI sector).

# Practice Educator Assessment

Assessment of candidates undertaking the Greater Manchester Social Work Academy (GMSWA) Practice Educator Programme will be by electronic portfolio and will enable candidates to demonstrate their ability against The Practice Educator Professional Standards for Social Work, as required by BASW.

**Practice educators are assessed in two stages. This assessment pack relates to Stage 1 only. (*There is a separate assessment pack for Stage 2.)***

**For Stage 1:** Practice educators will be able to supervise, teach and assess social work students up to, but not being solely responsible for, the final assessment prior to qualification. Therefore, a PE mentor will be linked to the PE to support this process.

Practice Educators completing stage 1 **MUST** complete their portfolio by assessing a social work student on placement and it is generally expected that the student will be completing their **final SW placement**.

Practice educators working towards Stage 1 and Stage 2 need to have mentor support from a stage 2 qualified Practice Educator (PE). This will vary across organisations and may involve group and/or one-to-one support. This mentor support will include a direct observation and the reading of the final student/learner assessment report, prior to submission.

Mentor support will also be available from GMSWA in the form of PE masterclasses and drop-in group supervision (details available from the organisation’s placement coordinator). The PE must sign a Ratification form to verify that they have engaged in **at least 3 mentor sessions per student/learner placement. This form can be found within this assessment pack.**

All Stage 1 candidates will have completed the GMSWA Practice Educator training and workbook, work with a student social worker on placement (with the support of a Stage 2 mentor) and produce a portfolio of evidence, including an assessment task.

**The initial Practice Educator training, working with a student and assessment of the candidate, should normally take place within a 3-year period. If you are outside of these timescales, this can be considered on a case-by-case basis by the PEPS panel chair.**

In order to continue to work with student social workers, PEs must maintain currency; this includes practice educating at least one student social worker in every 2-year period, being involved in mentoring other PEs (if already Stage 2) or by undertaking a refresher training, before taking another student.

# Stage 1 Assessment

It is expected that PE’s completing stage 1, wherever possible, will take **FINAL YEAR SOCIAL WORK STUDENT** on placement as that is the need across Greater Manchester. Generally first level placements are provided by the PVI sector.

To meet assessment requirements for Stage 1 of the PEPS 2022, candidates are required to provide a portfolio of evidence and undertake an assessment task to meet **Domains A, B, C and the Statement of Values for PE’s** (Appendix 1)**.**

You will be assessed as ‘competent’ or ‘not yet competent’. The domain indicators and Values will be considered holistically by the assessment panel, to determine whether or not, you meet the PEPS requirements at Stage 1.

**CPD Requirement**

PEPS 2022 requires practice educators to complete 70 hours of direct learning across Stages 1 and 2. The initial PE training and supplementary reading, will total 35 of those hours and will be evidenced on the certificate of completion, provided at the end of the course. In the case of the blended PE course, this could consist of more than one certificate.

NB: CPD activities after Stage 1 include mandatory completion of training for Stage 2 of the PE programme (blended learning). There is also a programme of GMSWA Practice Educator Masterclasses, refresher courses and drop ins, which can be attended to develop practice and fulfil the required hours, please see website here: [CPD – GMSWA](https://gmswa.co.uk/cpd/)

**Anonymisation of portfolio evidence**

All work submitted must be anonymised so that students, service users, other professionals and tutors are not recognisable, and all signatures are removed. Work that is not adequately anonymised will be returned to the candidate for anonymisation and successful completion of Stage 1 may be delayed.

**The only names that should appear in your portfolio are:**

**Your name and the stage 2 mentor/PE who completes your direct observation of you supervising your student**

# Portfolio contents

* Completed front sheet (see template below)
* Contents Page/Checklist (see template below)
* Ratification form (see template below)
* Statement of confidentiality (see template below)
* Evidence of completion of the Practice Education Training, please ensure you include **BOTH** **certificates** for the Digital training and face to face workshop.
* Completed Learning agreement form (from the student’s placement)
* Completed Interim assessment form (from the student’s placement)
* Final assessment report (from the student’s placement)
* One direct observation report (from the student’s placement)
* Direct observation of your PE supervision with a student (see template below)
* One example of supervision notes
* Written task (if you choose Option 1 details below)
* Reference list (if you choose the written task or professional discussion)

**Note**: If your student is using PARE to record their placements, please be aware their **placement record shuts down after the placement has ended**, so it is advised you save copies of any meeting forms as they occur. Alternatively, you can contact the relevant HEI Administrator to have these accessed and sent across to you.

Additionally, PARE only generates PDF versions of the student forms for download, which can prove problematic for anonymisation. If you do not have the facility to amend PDFs or convert files from a PDF to a Microsoft Word document, we advise the following:

* Practice Educators update a Microsoft Word version of the form as well as adding content to PARE. These can be sent to you by the relevant HEI Administrator.
* Print out the PARE forms, anonymise by hand, photocopy, and scan back to yourself.
* If you use a work tablet and have the facility to write on the screen, you may be able to anonymise and save a downloaded document in this way.

# 

# Assessment task

In addition to compiling a portfolio of evidence, you need to undertake an assessment task and there are 3 options for this.

1. Written task
2. Presentation
3. Professional discussion

If you wish to undertake options 2 or 3, please discuss the arrangements for this with your practice placement coordinator.

If a candidate wishes to submit for Stage 1 and 2 at the same assessment panel, Stage 1 will be assessed first. If it is considered to be ‘not yet competent’, the Stage 2 assessment will not be undertaken.

**1 Written Task**

Complete a written piece of work which addresses the three questions below (1500 words). You may choose to do this by writing a response to each question in turn OR you may choose to write an integrated piece based on the work undertaken with your student, which covers all the questions.

You will need to show how you have promoted anti-discriminatory practice during the student’s placement **with reference to the Statement of Values for Practice Educator Professional Standards (Appendix 2 of this pack).** Within your answers to the three questions below, you must incorporate your reflection on the PE Values and how you have integrated them into your practice with the student.

1. Please explain how you worked with others to organise an effective learning environment (Domain A).
2. Please explain how you have taught, facilitated and supported learning and professional development in practice (Domain B).
3. Please explain how you have managed the fair and transparent assessment of students in practice (Domain C)

You will be assessed holistically across your portfolio and written task, but when writing your answers, you should refer to the indicators under each Domain (Appendix 1) to help you understand the focus of that Domain and what will be expected in your answer. You **do not** have to address each indicator individually in your answer.

This written task must demonstrate further reading, using a range of academic sources, which are correctly cited that support the analysis. A minimum of 5 academic sources to be cited, which should be fully referenced using the Harvard method. The following web page will give you further guidance regarding referencing: [APA 7th edition | University of Salford](https://www.salford.ac.uk/skills/referencing/apa-7th-edition)

If you undertake the written task, this should be included in your portfolio, which should be submitted by the advertised date of submission.

**2 & 3 Presentation and Professional Discussion**

If you choose to undertake one of these optionsas your assessment task, you still need to complete and submit a portfolio containing the items detailed in the list of ‘Portfolio Contents’ above. Your portfolio needs to be submitted by the advertised date of submission. You will be given a time to attend an online assessment panel on the advertised assessment date. Your portfolio will be read by the assessment panel before the Presentation or Professional Discussion takes place.

**Presentation**

The assessment by Presentation will last for no more than half an hour and will be carried out by a panel of 2 people. The time needs to incorporate both the delivery of the presentation and supplementary questions from the assessors. You can provide a copy of the presentation if you wish for the information of the panel, but these additional materials will not be directly marked / assessed.

You will need to show how you have promoted anti-discriminatory practice during the student’s placement **with reference to the Statement of Values for Practice Educator Professional Standards (Appendix 2 of this pack).** Within your answers to the three questions below, you must incorporate your reflection on the PE Values and how you have integrated them into your practice with the student.

1. Please explain how you worked with others to organise an effective learning environment (Domain A).
2. Please explain how you have taught, facilitated, and supported learning and professional development in practice (Domain B).
3. Please explain how you have managed the fair and transparent assessment of students in practice (Domain C)

You will be assessed holistically across your portfolio and presentation, but when preparing your presentation, you should refer to the indicators under each Domain (Appendix 1) to help you understand the focus of that Domain and what will be expected in your answer. You **do not** have to address each indicator individually in your presentation.

The presentation must demonstrate further reading and be fully referenced using the Harvard method, citing a minimum of 5 academic resources. The following web page will give you further guidance regarding referencing: [APA 7th edition | University of Salford](https://www.salford.ac.uk/skills/referencing/apa-7th-edition)

**Professional Discussion**

The professional discussion will last for no more than half an hour and will be led by a panel of 2 people who will facilitate an online discussion of your answers to the questions below:

You will need to show how you have promoted anti-discriminatory practice during the student’s placement **with reference to the Statement of Values for Practice Educator Professional Standards (Appendix 2).** Within your answers to the three questions below, you must incorporate your reflection on the PE Values and how you have integrated them into your practice with the student.

1. Please explain how you worked with others to organise an effective learning environment (Domain A).
2. Please explain how you have taught, facilitated and supported learning and professional development in practice (Domain B).
3. Please explain how you have managed the fair and transparent assessment of students in practice (Domain C)

You will be assessed holistically across your portfolio and professional discussion, but when preparing your answers, you should refer to the indicators under each Domain (Appendix 1) to help you understand the focus of that Domain and what will be expected in your answer. You **do not** have to address each indicator individually in your answer.

The professional discussion should demonstrate further academic reading around Practice Education, which should be referenced within your answers and the discussion, with a bibliography being provided in your portfolio, citing a minimum of 5 academic resources. The following web page will give you further guidance regarding referencing: [APA 7th edition | University of Salford](https://www.salford.ac.uk/skills/referencing/apa-7th-edition)

**Submission Process**

Practice educator assessment panels will be carried out virtually.

**Anonymisation**

Before you submit your portfolio, please ensure that **all work submitted is anonymised** so that students, service users, other professionals and tutors are not recognisable and that all signatures are removed. Work that is not adequately anonymised will be returned to you for anonymisation and successful completion of Stage 1 may be delayed.

**The only names that should appear in your portfolio are:**

**Your name**

**The stage 2 mentor/PE who completes your direct observation of you supervising your student.**

All submissions should be **submitted electronically** as hard copies will no longer be considered. Portfolios should be submitted by the advertised submission deadline directly to your social work placement coordinator. They will then send these on to the panel chair to be assessed.

Candidates should be aware the panel chair does not check the content of portfolios on receipt, and they will be assessed as they have been submitted. Checking of contents should be completed prior to submission by the candidate and / or social work placement coordinator.

Submissions should be in the form of one single email with documents loaded as attachments or within a Zip folder. If a candidate needs to resend a document prior to assessment panel, please do not resend the individual document, but instead resubmit the whole portfolio again as a new email.

The email subject should include the following:

* Candidate name
* Local authority
* Stage 1 submission

Following the Panel, results will be emailed to you and certificates provided for successful candidates. Candidates who do not meet the requirements at this stage, will receive feedback and have an opportunity to re-submit to a future panel.

Agencies who wish to have their staff assessed at Panel should provide representatives to join the assessment team on the Panel. Panel assessors must hold the Practice Teacher Award or have met Stage 2 of the Practice Educator Professional Standards for Social Work.

# Assessment Proformas: Pages 11 – 18

1. **Front Sheet – page 10**
2. **Contents Page/Portfolio Checklist – page 11**
3. **Statement of Confidentiality - page 12**
4. **Ratification Form – page 13**
5. **Direct Observation of Practice Form – page 14-16**
6. **Record of Continuing Professional Development – page 17**



**Practice Educator Programme**

## STAGE 1 Front Sheet

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Candidate’s Name** |  |
| **Candidate’s work email address** |  |
| **Candidate’s Social Work England registration number** |  |
| **Candidate’s employer** |  |
| **Dates of PE training / blended course undertaken** |  |
| **Date submitting for assessment** |  |
| **Date of Stage 1 assessment pack used (See front cover of assessment pack)** |  |

**Assessment outcome and feedback *(FOR GMPEP Panel purposes only)***

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Competent /**  **Not yet competent** | **Portfolio assessor name** | **Date** |
|  |  |  |
| **Practice Educator Assessor feedback on portfolio** | | |
| **Holistic assessment of the practice educator** | | |
| **Areas of strength** | | |
| **Areas for development** | | |



**Practice Educator Programme**

## Contents Page/Portfolio Checklist

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Item** |  |
| Completed front sheet |  |
| Contents Page/Checklist |  |
| Ratification Form |  |
| Statement of confidentiality: |  |
| Certificate of attendance at 5-day course or Completion certificates for online modules and 1-day workshop |  |
| Completed learning agreement from the student’s placement |  |
| Assessment report written by yourself as the practice educator |  |
| One direct observation report relating to the student social worker’s practice with service users completed by yourself |  |
| Completed interim assessment proforma from the student’s placement |  |
| Direct observation of your own practice as practice educator, supervising a social work student, completed by a Stage 2 practice educator **(Please leave PE observer name on the front)** |  |
| One Sample of supervision notes |  |
| Assessment Task (if you choose Option 1) with Bibliography / reference list |  |



**Practice Educator Programme**

## Statement of Confidentiality

To preserve confidentiality, all names and other identifying factors have been changed in this portfolio. This includes names and contact details of the student, the tutor, service users, their family and carers. In order to do this, I have used:

Pseudonyms or random initials

Tippexing and photocopying

The only names which remain are those of colleagues who have undertaken direct observations of my practice.

**Name of Practice Educator:**

**Signed:**

**Date:**



**Practice Educator Programme**

## Ratification Form for Stage 1

**Support and Assessment of PEs in Training**

Practice educators in training i.e., PEs who have not achieved Stage 1 and Stage 2 (PEPS) who are supervising and assessing **final** placement students will need to have their decision ratified and overseen by a PEPS 2 qualified PE mentor or assessor.

For trainee PEs, whose organisations are in the Greater Manchester Social Work Academy (GMSWA), this means that they have to engage with support, guidance and assessment by a Stage 2 mentor. This includes:

* attending at least 3 organisational or GMSWA drop-in / group supervisions
* a direct observation by a Stage 2 PE
* having the final assessment report of the student/learner read and commented on by a Stage 2 PE

**I confirm that I have met the above requirements for Stage 1 or 2:**

**Practice Educator:**

**Date:**



**Practice Educator Programme**

## Direct Observation Form

Observation undertaken for: Stage 1

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Name of Candidate** |  |
| **Name of observer** |  |
| **Observer qualification (Practice Teachers Award or Stage 2)** |  |
| **Date of Observation** |  |
| **1a. Brief summary of the context in which the observation will take place (to be completed by the candidate)** | |
|  | |
| **1b. Preparation and planning of session including specific objectives and identification of PEPS domains to be evidenced (to be completed by candidate)** | |
|  | |

|  |
| --- |
| **1c Provide a summary of how research and theory will both inform and be exemplified in your practice during the session (e.g. theories related to adult learning, reflective practice, social work models, supervision etc). 300 words max** |
|  |

**Feedback from Observer (to be completed by Observer)**

|  |
| --- |
| **2a. What is your holistic assessment of the practice demonstrated by the PE**  **candidate?**  **State how they have achieved their objectives and accommodated issues**  **raised for the agenda by the student.**  **(Please refer to the Guidance for Observers for additional prompts)** |
|  |
| **2b. How did the candidate demonstrate values / standards in their practice?**  **(see Statement of Values for Practice Educators)** |
|  |
| **2c. What domains have been demonstrated? Please give specific evidence/examples from the observation.** |
|  |
| **2d. Comments from student social worker or learner assessed against PCF. The observer is responsible for gathering this feedback; you may wish to consider the following:**   * How typical was this supervision session? * How has this session helped you learn? * How helpful, or unhelpful, has the feedback been? |
|  |

**Feedback from Candidate (to be completed by Candidate)**

|  |
| --- |
| **3a. Candidate evaluation of the session (to be completed by candidate)**  **Provide a critical analysis based on your learning and development from this observation, including your reflection on your use of research and theory, the feedback from the social work student and the observer; how this will enhance your future practice as a PE and identification of any future needs. (up to 300 words)** |
|  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **4a. Areas for further development (to be completed by observer).**  **Provide specific examples on how the candidate could improve their practice and develop their identity as a practice educator.** | |
|  | |
| **4b. Any additional comments (from observer)** | |
|  | |
| **RECORD OF MEETING SIGNED BY:** | |
| Candidate | Signature |
| Observer | Print |
| Signature |
| Date |  |

# Appendices:

1. Practice Educator Professional Standards (PEPS) Domains (2022)
2. Statement of Values for PEPS (2022)
3. Guidance for mentors and observers
4. Glossary of terms
5. Further Reading
6. Complaints/Appeals Procedures

**Appendix 1**

## **PEPS Domains 2022**

**Domains to be evidenced at Stage 1**

|  |
| --- |
| **Domain A** |
| **Work with others to organise an effective learning environment** |
| 1 Establish an effective collaborative working relationship with learners and manage the power and authority in the educator/assessor role skillfully |
| 2. Devise an induction programme that takes into considers learners’ needs and their previous experience. |
| 3. Create reflective spaces for learners’ growth and development and provide regular reflective supervision |
| 4. Ensure all those involved in supporting the student have clarity and understanding of each other’s roles |
| 5. Work openly and cooperatively with others in the planning of learning opportunities and key activities at all stages of learning and assessment |
| 6. Consider student confidentiality and ensure all student information is maintained in a secure environment according to General Data Protection Regulations (GDPR) |
| 7. Contribute to the learning and development of the agency as a learning organisation. This may include encouraging the student to make links with others in the team by working alongside, making presentations and contributing to team resources. It may also include showing leadership by helping to review and improve the provision, policies and procedures of the learning organisation and identify barriers for learners. |

|  |
| --- |
| **Domain B** |
| **Teaching, facilitating and supporting learning and professional development in practice:** |
| 1. Demonstrate informed expertise in their practitioner role e.g. an applied knowledge of contemporary issues in research and relevant policy, legislation and practice. |
| 2. Using a range of learning methods, including modelling good social work practice. Teach and support the learning of relevant social work knowledge and research, and the integration of theory and research in practice. Knowledge taught should include the powers and duties, and policies and procedures of the agency. |
| 3. Help students to understand their own learning processes and to develop different models and strategies for critical reflection and analysis. |
| 4. Discuss and plan with the student the learning and assessment programme, the student’s particular needs and capabilities, and how best the learner can derive further support for learning. |
| 5. Make sound reasoned judgements about the level of work the student can be allocated, and the support required to achieve both learning and the work goals. |
| 6. Demonstrate an understanding of, and critical engagement with, learning theories, to make professional educational judgements about students’ needs and how to meet them. |

|  |
| --- |
| **Domain C** |
| **Manage the fair and transparent assessment of students in practice:** |
| 1. Ensure that holistic assessment decisions are the outcome of informed. Evidence-based judgements, drawing on evidence which is relevant, valid, reliable and sufficient from a range of sources, including direct observation. Clearly explain the decisions to students. |
| 2. Encourage students to self-evaluate and seek service users, carers and work based colleagues feedback to develop their performance. |
| 3. Provide timely, honest and constructive feedback on student performance in an appropriate format. Review student progress throughout the assessment process, identifying strengths and any areas requiring improvement, making clear to students how they may improve to meet the acceptable standard. |
| 4. Ensure that all assessment decisions, and the supporting evidence, are documented and recorded according to the required standard. Provide holistic assessment reports which demonstrate clear evidence for decisions. Demonstrate ability to work well with others in the assessment process, particularly if learner’s practice is marginal or failing. |
| 5. Engage students in the design, planning and implementation of methods to assess students’ performance against the appropriate standards. |
| 6. Extend the development of all students including those who already have strong skills or are experiencing difficulties. Ensure that disagreements about assessment judgments and complaints made about the assessment process are managed in accordance with agreed procedures. |

**Appendix 2**

## **Practice Educator Values Statements 2022**

In order to promote anti-oppressive and anti-discriminatory practices, practice educators and supervisors will:

1. Advise learners of their rights and actively lead on challenging oppression, discrimination and racist practices that may be experienced by learners. This may include overt expressions of racism, or more covert unconscious bias and micro-aggressions. Learners must be supported throughout the process by the learning provider and (Trainee) Practice Educator in the reporting and responding to such concerns. Where appropriate this will require the implementation of whistleblowing policies (BASW, 2014) to ensure an effective working environment for social work (BASW/IFSW, 2013)
2. Manage professional and personal boundaries, appropriately using authority and power within the assessment relationship and recognising and acting upon the implications for assessment of practice. Fundamental to this relationship is an underpinning of the common principles of equality, diversity and inclusion in appropriately supporting learners by acknowledging and responding to their needs and in anti-discriminatory manner
3. Update on best practice in assessment and research on adult learning and apply this knowledge in promoting the rights and choices of a diverse group of learners. Managing the assessment process whilst actively challenging oppressive practice which does not support learners to reach their potential.
4. Commit to the needs and interests of people with lived experience of social work when assessing the capability and skills of learners at all stages of the assessment process. As appropriate, those with lived experience should play an active part in assessing those being accepted onto and undertaking training in practice education.

`

1. Identify and question their own values and prejudices and respect, value and celebrate the uniqueness and diversity of leaners such as those from different entry routes and with different personal and professional experiences. Actively challenge when oppressive practice is observed or reported. This is in line with the Professional Capability Framework (BASW 2018, PCF Domain 3).
2. Accept and respect learners’ circumstances, understand how these impact on the learning and assessment process and make reasonable adjustments as required. Those involved in practice education should recognise and build on learners’ strengths and consider individual learning styles and use a range of assessment methods (including those preferred by the learner).
3. Implement an holistic approach to assess in a manner that does not stigmatise or disadvantage learners and ensures equality of opportunity in line with the Equality Act 2010. Ensure that the views of those who have lived experiences of social work are central to this assessment process. Show applied knowledge and understanding of the significance of lived experience, poverty, racism, ill health, disability, sex, social class, age, gender reassignment, being married or in a civil partnership, being pregnant or on maternity leave, religion or belief and sexual orientation in managing the assessment process. Recognise and work to prevent and counter unjustifiable oppression, discrimination and disadvantage in all aspects of the assessment process. Be aware of the impact of poverty and associated issues such as food insecurity and how this might affect the experiences of learners and those accessing social work services.
4. Take responsibility for the quality of their work and ensure that it is monitored and appraised; critically reflect on their own practice and identify development needs in order to improve their own performance, raise standards, and contribute to the learning and development of others.

**Appendix 3**

## Guidance for Mentors and Observers

**The practice educator mentor role**

The PE mentor is a registered social worker qualified to PEPS 2 (or Practice Teacher Award), who will develop skills and knowledge of the trainee practice educator during the process of undertaking the Practice Educator Professional Standards. The mentor will not be making a final assessment on the trainee practice educator. In Greater Manchester, the final assessment is undertaken by means of the portfolio submission to the practice Educator Assessment Panel.

**Direct observations of trainee practice educators**

**What is the purpose of the observation?**

The purpose is twofold: -

1. It is one of the required pieces of evidence of the PE candidate’s capability in practice education, for submission in their portfolio at Stage 1. The candidate is being assessed against Domains A-C of PEPS 2019 and the Statement of Values for Practice Educators.
2. It is an opportunity for the PE candidate to gain developmental feedback about their professional practice and an opportunity for discussion and reflection with the observer.

**What makes a suitable observation?**

A suitable observation is a formal, planned supervision session of a social work student which lasts for around one – one and half hours.

**Who can be an observer?**

The observer will be a registered social worker and have Stage Two Practice Educator Status or the CCETSW Practice Teacher Award.

**What is involved?**

Observing practice typically has 4 stages of development. (Please refer to Direct Observation form)

1. briefing between observer and candidate,
2. observation
3. feedback
4. completion of the Direct Observation report

**1. The briefing**:

* The pre-observation briefing with the PE candidate should focus on planning and preparation. If a session plan / supervision agenda is available, it could be given to the observer and used to form the basis of the discussion. This briefing is also an opportunity for the PE candidate and observer to identify particular aspects of practice they would like to focus the feedback on – see Domains A-C and the Statement of Values for Practice Educators – or particular areas of each domain that might be helpfully demonstrated within the observation session.
* PE candidate and observer will agree how student comments and feedback will be sought (see Direct Observation form Section 2d). The Observer is responsible for gathering the feedback from the student.
* The PE candidate should complete sections 1a, 1b and 1c of the Direct Observation form prior to the observation. This outlines the aims/outcomes for the session and provides relevant information and context. The form should be sent to the observer before the observed session takes place.
* The PE candidate will have agreed the observation date with the social work student prior to the session. They will make suitable arrangements (for example where the observers will sit/position themselves) for the observation.
* PE candidate and observer will agree arrangements for verbal feedback after the observed session. It may be helpful for both PE candidate and observer to consider the ‘keep/change rule’ and agree beforehand to discuss 1 or 2 elements of the session that they thought went well (“keep”) and 1 or 2 elements that they would “change”. Fuller feedback can be given in the written direct observation form.

**2. The observation:**

* The session should resemble normal practice as far as possible (although there may be some consideration of particular areas/domains that can be helpfully demonstrated within a direct observation session**\*\***). Observation of the following supervision sessions – or that incorporate the following - may be particularly helpful in providing ‘evidence’ for the candidate’s portfolio:
* A supervision session prior to the Interim Review, considering the students’ progress so far; giving feedback on development and further assessment issues requiring attention etc
* A supervision session after a student direct observation, involving the candidate and student in developmental feedback, encouraging student reflection etc
* The candidate helping the student relate theory to practice, either directly or discussing the students written work/assignment
* The candidate encouraging/helping the student reflect on practice
* A supervision session towards the end of placement, helping the student reflect and consider skills gained and learning needs for their next placement/as they move into practice

**\*\*** For example, Domain B1 “Demonstrate informed expertise in their practitioner role e.g. an applied knowledge of contemporary issues in research and relevant policy, legislation and practice *”* and Domain B2 “Using a range of learning methods, including modelling good social work practice. Teach and support the learning of relevant social work knowledge and research, and the integration of theory and research in practice. Knowledge taught should include the powers and duties, and policy and procedures of the agency *”* – it could be helpful to ensure that these domains are demonstrated/evidenced as it may be difficult for the candidate to demonstrate them in the other pieces of work in their portfolio.

* Remember, the PE candidate has a range of items in their portfolio from which they can claim ‘evidence’ – it does not all have to be done in the Direct Observation. As the observer, you can ‘say what you see’ and give examples of this in the Direct Observation form under each Domain/Values and comment on how you think this is valid evidence for that particular domain statement or Values. You are also required to make broader, overall and more holistic comments about the PE candidate’s practice within sections 2a and 4a.

**3. Feedback**

The timing of the feedback session should be agreed between PE candidate and observer and verbal feedback should happen immediately or very shortly after the observed session. Feedback is an opportunity for dialogue with the PE candidate and the Observer should seek their views and opinions as part of the feedback process. The PE candidate has to critically reflect on the feedback given (section 3a) and comment on their learning and future development needs after the observation/feedback.

The observation should be constructive and developmental and discussed in line with good practice on giving and receiving feedback and the Observer should ensure that their feedback is: **SCORE**

**Specific: -** refer to specific behaviours and achievements with examples

**Clear: -** be clear, one or two points are remembered by the receiver

**Owned: -** use I; these are your thoughts and opinions

**Relevant: -** make suggestions and offer alternatives; make sure the PE candidate can act upon them

**Enabling: -** ensure feedback is balanced and constructive

The following points may be helpful when the Observer is considering prompts for feedback, discussion with the PE candidate and also for written comments to be included Direct Observation report:

*How had the PE candidate planned the session?*

* Clear relationship between this and previous / future sessions
* Appropriate teaching / learning methods chosen
* Realistic aims / plan for the session
* Adequate resources available as required

*How did the PE candidate facilitate the session?*

* Aims / plan / agenda of the session made clear to the student
* Session well organised, and all parties understood their role and expectations
* Professional, positive and enthusiastic approach; balance of support and appropriate challenge
* Evidence of understanding the principles of good practice in supervision; use of particular skills in supervision
* Evidence of understanding the principles of adult learning, teaching and enabling
* Evidence addressing the student’s professional development in relation to requirements of practice and guidance on practice.
* Evidence of understanding of and links to the assessment of the student
* Effective use of any resources or teaching materials used.
* Session drawn to satisfactory and timely conclusion.

*Student engagement and involvement*

* Developed rapport with student
* Student’s motivation, attention and engagement maintained
* Encouraged student participation in the session
* Student’s contributions valued and empowerment promoted
* Candidate responded to individual needs of the student

**4. The Direct Observation report**

This is completed after the discussion and feedback session as above. The Observer will write up their notes on the observation pro forma and send this to the PE candidate.

Section 2a – general, overall comments reflecting the Observers holistic assessment of the PE candidate’s performance during the direct observation and how they facilitated student engagement and involvement during the session.

Section 2b – requires specific evidence/examples of how the PE candidate demonstrated values (Statement of Values for Practice Educators) in their practice with their student during this observation/supervision session. This relates to how the PE demonstrated their understanding of the Values that underpin **their assessment of the student**, **NOT** of the student’s demonstration or understanding of values in their work with service users.

Section 2c – requires specific evidence/examples of how the PE candidate demonstrated particular statements within Domains A-C.

Section 4a; 4b - comments on the PE candidates’ overall achievements and areas for further development
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## Glossary (taken from PEPS 2022)

**Accreditation of Prior Learning (APEL)** This is where acknowledgement is made of prior learning on acceptance to a course either at commencement or partway through.

**Assessed and Supported Year in Employment (ASYE)** The first assessed year in employment for a newly qualified social worker. Blended Learning Is a method of delivering learning with provided content for learners to engage with asynchronously, supported by some opportunity for synchronous learning too (face to face or online).

**Continuing Professional Development (CPD)** Ongoing learning activities to ensure social workers are up to date. There is a requirement to register this activity with the social work regulator Social Work England.

**Higher Education Institution (HEI)** Provider of higher, tertiary education.

**Knowledge and Skills Statements (KSS)** Set out what social workers, supervisors and practice leaders should know and be able to do within the context of their role in different settings.

**Learner** Person undertaking pre-qualification award (e.g. social work) or post-qualification learning e.g. ASYE, Best Interests Assessor (BIA), Approved Mental Health Professional (AMHP). This does not refer to the person undertaking the PEPS course. They will be referred to as a Trainee Practice Educator.

**Line manager** Usually the person responsible for supervising and allocating workload to a qualified social worker.

**Learning provider** This will be the organisation that delivers the teaching and assessment of a PEPS course. This might be an HEI, an employer or an independent organisation.

**Newly Qualified Social Worker** **(NQSW)** Usually undertaking the ASYE.

**On-site Supervisor** Is responsible for the day-to-day support for the learner and will work closely with the Practice Educator in their overall assessment of that learner. They might be called ‘work-based supervisors’ in some practice learning settings.

**Off-site Practice Educator** A registered social worker qualified to PEPS 2 who is physically located away from the learners’ practice area and contracted by the programme provider or employer to undertake the role of Practice Educator. They will work closely with the On-site Supervisor.

**People with Lived Experience (PLE)** People who will have had direct or indirect experience of social work services. For the purposes of this review PLE have contributed to the development of the refreshed guidance through their roles in social work practice education.

**Practice Educator (PE)** A registered social worker qualified to PEPS 2 who takes overall responsibility for assessing learners in practice education against relevant frameworks including the Professional Capability Framework. These may be located on the same site as the learner but may also be ‘off site practice educator’ and also referred to as a ‘long arm’ provider or ‘independent’ depending on their employment status.

**Practice Educator Assessor\*** A registered social worker qualified to PEPS 2 who will be responsible for assessing the Trainee Practice Educator against the Values Statements and PEPS Domains; this includes undertaking the direct observations of the Trainee Practice Educator and writing assessments for their progression on the PEPS course. This person will also need to feedback and counter-sign the Trainee Practice Educators assessment of a learner in Stage 1 and Stage 2 of the PEPS. If this is carried out in a separate role to the Practice Educator Mentor, it is expected there will be close working and sharing of feedback about the progress of the Trainee Practice Educator.

**Practice Educator Mentor\*** A registered social worker qualified to PEPS 2 who will develop skills and knowledge of the Trainee Practice Educator during the process of undertaking the Practice Educator Professional Standards. The Practice Educator Assessor may also fulfil this role. It is expected that a Practice Educator Mentor should provide at least six supervision sessions to the Trainee Practice Educator during PEPS 1 and 2. If this is a separate role to the Practice Educator Assessor, it is expected there will be close working and sharing of feedback about the progress of the Trainee Practice Educator. The mentor will not be making a final assessment on the Trainee Practice Educator.

**Practice Educator Professional Standards (PEPS)** Are the standards categorised into Domains that those delivering practice education are expected to demonstrate.

**Practice Placements** Refer specifically to those undertaking the required days in a practice setting as part of a pre-qualifying programme (so 200 days across the duration of the programme), often broken down into 30 skills days, 70 days (first placement) and 100 days (final placement).

**Professional Capability Framework (PCF)** ‘The framework for practice teaching and learning in England. It sets out nine common domains of capability that we expect to develop as social workers, and which others can expect of us. It promotes and underpins social work as ‘one profession’ across all specialisms and roles’ (BASW 2018).

**Trainee Practice Educator** Is the person undergoing training to be qualified to be a Practice Educator.

**Values Statements** Are the expectations of those in the practice learning setting and are linked closely to the PCF (2018), KSS (2014, 2015) and Social Work Ethics (BASW 2021).

**\*** Practice Educator Assessors and/or Practice Educator Mentors will normally have supervised five learners (post and pre-qualifying) and have experience of managing complexity in practice education prior to taking on that role.  The proposed number of approximately five learners includes those they supervised during their own PEPS 1 and 2 training
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## Complaints /appeals procedure

The complaints procedure seeks to promote good practice in relation to the right to complain. Underpinning the procedure are the principles of simplicity, accessibility and the need for it to be readily understood by programme participants.

As the Greater Manchester Practice Educator Programme is a partnership of different agencies complaints about the Programme, training provided by the Programme and the assessment process should be made direct to the GMSWA Practice Learning Steering Group.

If you are unhappy about an experience which you have had regarding any aspect of the Practice Educator Programme you should first try and resolve it informally with the administrator.

However, if you continue to be dissatisfied you should put your complaint in writing to the Chair of the GMSWA Practice Learning Steering Group via the programme administrator.

The Chair will write to you acknowledging receipt of the letter usually within five working days after receiving the complaint. The complaint will then be taken to the next Steering Group meeting and you will be notified of the date that you will receive a formal response with any actions that the Programme proposes to take.

**Making an Appeal against a Decision of the Assessment Panel**

If you wish to appeal against a decision of an Assessment panel you must do so in writing to the Programme Administrator within 10 calendar days of the receipt of the Panel’s decision. The complaint will then be taken to the next GMSWA Practice Learning Steering Group meeting and you will be notified of the date that you will receive a formal response from the Chair of the GMSWA Practice Learning Steering Group with any actions that the programme proposes to take.

An appeal may only be submitted on one or more of the following grounds:

a) that evidence is available which for good and reasonable cause has not been submitted previously to the Panel;

b) that there has been an error in process;

c) that the Panel has acted in a way which is manifestly unfair.

An appeal must state:

a) sufficient particulars to enable the grounds on which the appeal is made to be understood by the Steering Group and

b) sufficient particulars to enable the relevant decision of a Panel to be identified.

An appeal which does not satisfy the requirements of both a) and b) above may be treated as a nullity by the Steering Group.